Sturm-Liouville Theory

Introduction

• The Sturm-Liouville Equation is a homogeneous second order linear ODE:

$$\left[p(x)y'(x)\right]' - q(x)y(x) + \lambda\rho(x)y(x) = 0$$
$$\left[py'\right]' - qy + \lambda\rho y = 0$$

Together with the homogeneous boundary conditions

$$\alpha_1 y'(a) + \alpha_2 y(a) = 0$$

$$\beta_1 y'(b) + \beta_2 y(b) = 0$$

And

- At least one of the α s is non-zero, and likewise for the β s.
- \circ p, q and w are **functions** such that
 - *p* is **real**, **positive** and **differentiable**.
 - q is real and continuous
 - *ρ* is real, positive and continuous, and is called the weight function.
- The Sturm-Liouville Equation can also be written

$$\mathcal{L}y = \lambda \rho y$$

Where \mathcal{L} is the **Sturm-Liouville Operator**:

$$\mathcal{L} = -\left[\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}\left(p(x)\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}\right) + q(x)\right]$$

• The definition of an Hermitian operator is

$$\int_{a}^{b} f^{*}(x) [\mathcal{L}g(x)] \, \mathrm{d}x = \int_{a}^{b} [\mathcal{L}f(x)]^{*} g(x) \, \mathrm{d}x$$

Feeding the Sturm-Liouville Operator in to the LHS:

$$-\int_{a}^{b} f^{*}\left[\left(pg'\right)' + qg\right] \mathrm{d}x = -\int_{a}^{b} f^{*}\left(pg'\right)' \,\mathrm{d}x - \int_{a}^{b} f^{*}qg \,\mathrm{d}x$$

We can integrate the first term by parts twice:

$$\int_{a}^{b} f^{*}(pg')' \, \mathrm{d}x = \left[f^{*}(pg')\right]_{a}^{b} - \int_{a}^{b} (f^{*})' pg' \, \mathrm{d}x$$
$$= \left[f^{*}(pg')\right]_{a}^{b} - \left[(f^{*})' pg\right]_{a}^{b} + \int_{a}^{b} \left[(f^{*})' p\right]' g \, \mathrm{d}x$$

And now, assuming that the boundary terms are both 0, we have:

$$\int_a^b f^* \left(pg' \right)' \, \mathrm{d}x = \int_a^b \left[\left(f^* \right)' p \right]' g \, \mathrm{d}x$$

And therefore, the LHS above becomes:

$$-\int_{a}^{b} f^{*}(x) \Big[\mathcal{L}g(x) \Big] \, \mathrm{d}x = -\int_{a}^{b} \Big[(f^{*})' \, p \Big]' g \, \mathrm{d}x - \int_{a}^{b} f^{*}qg \, \mathrm{d}x \\ = -\int_{a}^{b} \Big[p(f^{*})' \Big]' g + qf^{*}g \, \mathrm{d}x \\ = -\int_{a}^{b} \Big[\mathcal{L}f(x) \Big]^{*} g(x) \, \mathrm{d}x$$

(The last step holds because all the functions are real). As such, the Sturm-Liouville Operator is **Hermitian** *if and only if*:

$$\left[f^*(pg')\right]_a^b = 0$$
$$\left[(f^*)'pg\right]_a^b = 0$$

These are satisfied if, for **all** solutions y and g of the equation,

$$\left[y^* p g\right]_{x=a}^{x=b} = 0$$

NOTE: if p = 0 at the endpoints, then

- o These points are **singularities** of the equation.
- The boundary condition above is automatically satisfied.
- We require, however, that the solution be **regular** (analytic) at the endpoints.

Formalities

• The **inner product** between two functions *f* and *g* is defined as

$$\langle f \mid g \rangle = \int_{a}^{b} f^{*} g \rho \, \mathrm{d}x$$

• The **adjoint**, \mathcal{L}^{\dagger} of an operator \mathcal{L} is defined by $\langle f | \mathcal{L}g \rangle = \langle \mathcal{L}^{\dagger}f | g \rangle + \text{Boundary terms}$

Maths Revision Notes

© Daniel Guetta, 2008

If $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}^{\dagger}$, then the operator is **self-adjoint**.

• If an operator is **self-adjoint** and the **boundary terms vanish**, then the operator is said to be **Hermitian**:

$$\langle f \mid \mathcal{L}g \rangle = \langle \mathcal{L}f \mid g \rangle$$

• Consider an Hermitian operator \mathcal{L} , with

$$\mathcal{L}y = \lambda y$$
$$\mathcal{L}z = \mu z$$

Taking inner products:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle z \mid \mathcal{L}y \right\rangle &= \lambda \left\langle z \mid y \right\rangle \\ \left\langle y \mid \mathcal{L}z \right\rangle &= \mu \left\langle y \mid z \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

Complex-conjugating the second one:

$$\langle \mathcal{L}z \mid y \rangle = \mu^* \langle z \mid y \rangle$$

Using the self-adjointness of the operator:

$$\langle z \mid \mathcal{L}y \rangle = \mu^* \langle z \mid y \rangle$$

Subtracting this from the very first equation above gives:

$$(\lambda - \mu^*) \langle z \mid y \rangle = 0$$

Now, let's first assume that z = y and therefore $\lambda = \mu$. Then:

$$(\lambda - \lambda^*) \langle y \mid y \rangle = 0$$

Assuming that our eigenvector are non-trivial, $\langle y | y \rangle \neq 0$, and so

 $\boxed{\lambda=\lambda^*}$

The eigenvalues are real. Now, if $z \neq y$:

$$(\lambda - \mu) \left\langle z \mid y \right\rangle = 0$$

And now assuming that the eigenvalues are **distinct**, we have

$$\left|\left\langle z\mid y\right\rangle =0\right|$$

The eigenvectors are orthogonal.

Transforming into Sturm-Liouville Form

• Consider a general linear second order ODE:

$$y'' + g(x)y' + h(x)y + \lambda\rho(x)y = 0$$

Maths Revision Notes

© Daniel Guetta, 2008

• We can then define the **integrating factor**

$$p(x) = \exp\left(\int g \,\mathrm{d}x\right)$$

So that

 $\circ p' = pg$

 \circ *p* is always positive

• Multiplying the ODE through by *p*:

$$py'' + pgy' + phy + \lambda p\rho y = 0$$

$$(my')' + phy + \lambda p \rho y = 0$$

$$(py')' + phy + \lambda p\rho y = 0$$

- This is in Sturm-Liouville form.
- Care must be taken to ensure that the constraints on p, q and ρ are satisfied (eg: positive, finite, etc...)

Completeness of Eigenfunctions

- The space of **eigenfunctions** is **complete** the eigenfunctions form a **basis** for the **vector space** of **functions satisfying the boundary conditions**.
- Therefore, any function f(x) on the said interval that satisfies the boundary conditions (and even if it doesn't!) can be expressed as

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n y_n(x)$$

• Take the **inner product** with the eigenfunction y_m

$$\begin{split} \left\langle y_{m} \mid f \right\rangle &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} \left\langle y_{m} \mid y_{n} \right\rangle = a_{m} \left\langle y_{m} \mid y_{m} \right\rangle \\ \Rightarrow \boxed{a_{m} = \frac{\left\langle y_{m} \mid f \right\rangle}{\left\langle y_{m} \mid y_{m} \right\rangle}} \end{split}$$

• Assume that the y_n are **unit normalised** – we then have:

$$\begin{split} f(x) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\langle y_n \mid f \right\rangle y_n(x) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_a^b y_n^*(\xi) f(\xi) w(\xi) \,\mathrm{d}\xi \, y_n(x) \\ &= \int_a^b f(\xi) w(\xi) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} y_n^*(\xi) y_n(x) \,\mathrm{d}\xi \end{split}$$

Which gives the **completeness relation**:

Maths Revision Notes

© Daniel Guetta, 2008

Page 5 of 6

$$w(\xi)\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}y_n^*(\xi)y_n(x) = \delta(x-\xi)$$

Inhomogeneous Problems – Green's

Functions

• Consider the **inhomogeneous problem**

 $\mathcal{L}y = f$

• This time, though, divide \mathcal{L} by ρ , so that

$$\mathcal{L}y_n = \lambda_n y_n$$

(ie: hide the weight function in \mathcal{L})

• Consider the **eigenfunction expansions** of y and f:

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n y_n \qquad \qquad y(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n y_n$$

• Substituting into the ODE yields:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n \lambda_n y_n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n y_n$$

• Taking the inner product of both sides:

$$\begin{split} b_{m}\lambda_{m}\left\langle y_{m}\mid y_{m}\right\rangle &=a_{m}\left\langle y_{m}\mid y_{m}\right\rangle\\ \Rightarrow b_{m}\lambda_{m}&=a_{m}=\frac{\left\langle y_{m}\mid f\right\rangle}{\left\langle y_{m}\mid y_{m}\right\rangle}\qquad(*)\\ \Rightarrow b_{m}&=\frac{\left\langle y_{m}\mid f\right\rangle}{\lambda_{m}\left\langle y_{m}\mid y_{m}\right\rangle} \end{split}$$

• Therefore, the solution is given by:

$$y(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} rac{\left\langle y_n \mid f \right
angle}{\lambda_n \left\langle y_n \mid y_n
ight
angle} y_n$$

• Assuming that the eigenfunctions are **unit normalised**:

$$y(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \left(\int_a^b y_n^*(\xi) f(\xi) \rho(\xi) \,\mathrm{d}\xi \right) y_n(x)$$
$$= \int_a^b \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_n} y_n^*(\xi) \rho(\xi) y_n(x) f(\xi) \,\mathrm{d}\xi$$
$$= \int_a^b G(x,\xi) f(\xi) \,\mathrm{d}\xi$$

Where

$$G(x,\xi) = \rho(\xi) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_n} y_n^*(\xi) y_n(x)$$

• As expected

$$\mathcal{L}G(x,\xi) = \rho(\xi) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} y_n^*(\xi) y_n(x) = \delta(x-\xi)$$

(Using the completeness relation).

- Problems obviously arise if $\lambda_k = 0$. Consider two cases:
 - If $\langle y_k | f \rangle \neq 0$, there is no solution. This could, physically, correspond to **resonance** of the mode y_k when the forcing function f is applied.
 - If $\langle y_k | f \rangle = 0$, then the equation marked (*) above is **not inconsistent**, and there are solutions (though **not unique** ones) of the form

$$y = \sum_{n \neq k} \frac{\left\langle y_n \mid f \right\rangle}{\lambda_n \left\langle y_n \mid y_n \right\rangle} y_n + A y_k$$

Legendre Polynomials

• Legendre's Equation is

$$(1 - x2)y'' - 2xy' + \ell(\ell + 1)y = 0$$

Which, in **Sturm-Liouville** form is

$$\left[(1 - x^2)y' \right]' + \ell(\ell + 1)y = 0$$

- We restrict -1 < x < 1, to keep $p = 1 x^2$ positive. At the end-points, p = 0, so the only boundary condition we need is analyticity at the endpoints.
- The solutions, P_n , are chosen such that

$$P_n(1) = 1$$

Which means that

$$\left\langle P_n \mid P_n \right\rangle = \frac{2}{2n+1}$$

• The Legendre Polynomials can be generated by noting that P_n is an n^{th} -order polynomial, that it must be orthogonal to all previous polynomials and that $P_n(1) = 1$.