15.053 Exam 1 Notes

- <u>Linear program terminology</u>
 - **Decision variables** the variables we need to determine
 - o Input parameters the costs, times taken for things, etc...
 - **Objective function** the thing that needs to be maximised or minimized.
 - **Unbounded** feasible choices of the decision variables can produce arbitrarily good objective function values.
 - **Linear program** constraints and objective function are linear (ie: weighed sums of the decision variables).
- <u>Solving problems graphically</u>
 - o **Optimal solution** optimal line minimised only at "corner point".
 - **Plotting a line** of the form ax + by = C
 - Get the x by itself and set y to 0. Vice versa.
 - For the objective function, let C = some random value.

• <u>Step sizes and directions</u>

- As long as the step size is finite, there's no indication that the program is unbounded.
- To find the conditions required for a feasible direction Δw at a given point...
 - Find the active (tight) constraints at that point
 - Require that $\Delta w \cdot (\text{constraint vector}) \ge \le 0$ as needed.
- To check whether a direction is improving, dot it with the objective function vector, and look at the sign of the result.
- To find the maximum step size, λ , assuming you make the change $\lambda \Delta w$, change all the variables accordingly, solve, and take the smallest one.
- <u>Improving search</u>
 - The feasible region of an LP is **convex** [the line segment between every pair of feasible points falls entirely within the feasible region].

- This means that every local optimal solution is a global optimal solution.
- o Algorithm
 - Initialisation choosing stating solution
 - Check for local optimality (no improving solution)
 - Find improving and feasible direction
 - Find step size
 - Advance
- <u>Standard-form LP</u>
 - o All variables must be non-negative, and only equalities are included.
 - $\circ~$ Add slack variables to make up for it.
 - Objective function is $c \cdot x$ and constraints are $Ax = b, x \ge 0$.
 - For a negative variable, make a new variable $\overline{x} = -x$. For a variable that can be positive or negative, make two new variables $x = x^+ + x^-$.
 - For an absolute value |x|, replace it with a new variable z and add constraints $z \ge x$ and $z \ge -x$, with $z_1, z_2, z_3 \ge 0$.
 - For a **maximin** (maximise the minimum), introduce a new variable f, and maximise f subject to $f \leq [$ said variable].
 - o *n* is the number of decision variables, *m* is the number of constraints, c_j is the objective function coefficient of x_j and $a_{i,j}$ is the coefficient of x_j in the *i*th constraints and b_j is the RHS of main constraint *i*.
- <u>Types of points</u>
 - $\circ \quad Interior \ point no \ inequality \ is \ active$
 - **Boundary point** at least one inequality constraint is satisfied as equality at a given point
 - Extreme points of convex sets those that do not lie within the line segment between any two other points in the set. Generally a solution of a system of n equations and n variables. Some can be determined by different sets of active constraints.

- Adjacent extreme points determined by active constraints differing in only 1 element.
- <u>Simplex intro</u>
 - $\circ~$ Effectively an improving search algorithm
 - Starts at an extreme point, and moves to adjacent extreme point with better objective value...
 - o ...until no adjacent extreme point has better objective value.
 - o Algorithm
 - Initialization choose starting feasible solution
 - If no improving feasible direction, stop
 - Construct **improving feasible direction**
 - Choose **step size** [if no limit, stop]
 - Advance
- <u>Simplex standard display</u>

	x_1	x_n	
Max c	c_1	C_n	b
	a_{11}		b_1
\mathbf{A}			
		a_{nn}	b_n
Basic var?			
$oldsymbol{x}^{(0)}$			$oldsymbol{c} \cdot oldsymbol{x}^{(0)}$
Δx for $x_{?}$			$\overline{c_{?}}$
		ratios	
New bas. var?			
$oldsymbol{x}^{(1)}$			$oldsymbol{c} \cdot oldsymbol{x}^{(1)}$

- <u>Simplex basic solutions</u>
 - Fix n m variables (nonbasic variables) to 0. Obtain a unique solution for the remaining system of m variables (basic variables) and m equations.
 - o Qualifications

- A basic solution is **feasible** (BFS) if it satisfies all nonnegativity constraints.
- Sometimes, we can't even get a basic solution, if the system of equations obtained after setting nonbasic variables to 0 doesn't have a unique solution.
- For a standard-form LP, the BFSs are <u>exactly</u> the extreme points of the feasible region. We need to cycle through them.
- <u>Simplex first phase</u>
 - This phase finds a basic solution, by creating an artificial linear program.
 - o Procedure
 - Multiply constraints by −1 as necessary to make **b** positive.
 - Add a non-negative **artificial variable** for each constraint, and set to objective function to *minimise* the *sum* of these artificial variables.
 - This has an easy to find BFS set all the non-artifical variables to 0.
 - This is good because
 - It can't be infeasible (because it has a BFS)
 - It can't be unbounded (because the variables are ≥ 0)
 - o Solve.
 - If the solution of A doesn't make all artificial variables 0, then the original program is infeasible.
 - If the solution of A has all the artificial variables 0, then what's left over is a BFS for the original program.
- <u>Simplex finding an improving direction</u>
 - In improving a direction, we choose <u>one</u> nonbasic variable, and move it out of the basis. To decide which, we see which improves our cost best.
 - o Procedure
 - Choose a nonbasic variable x_i
 - Move direction

$$\Delta x_{i} = \begin{cases} +1 & i = j \\ 0 & i \neq j \quad (x_{i} \text{ nonbasic}) \\ ? & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Need

$$A(x + \lambda \Delta x) = b$$
$$\boxed{A \Delta x = 0}$$

m variable, m equations, has unique solution because \boldsymbol{x} is a BFS.

• The change in our objective function is

$$c \cdot (x + \lambda \Delta x) - c \cdot x = \lambda c \cdot \Delta x$$

And so we calculate the **reduced cost** for the variable j

$$\overline{c}_{j} = \boldsymbol{c} \cdot \Delta \boldsymbol{x}$$

- We calculate those for **each nonbasic variable**, and then choose the one with the **best reduced cost** to move into the basis.
- If there is no improving direction stop. We're done
- <u>Simplex step size</u>
 - This is an LP, so the improving directions are improving forever, and we constructed the system such that equality constraints are satisfied, so problems must come from violating non-negativity. We want to increase λ until we violate one of those.
 - o Increasing λ can only lead to bad things for $\Delta x_i < 0$.
 - We therefore use step size

$$\lambda = \min\left\{ \frac{x_j^{(t)}}{-\Delta x_j} : \Delta x_j < 0 \right\}$$

Calculate this ratio for every variable in the basis for our chosen direction, add to standard display, and pick the minimum one.

- <u>Simplex updating the basis</u>
 - o $\boldsymbol{x}^{(t+1)} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{x}^{(t)} + \lambda \Delta \boldsymbol{x}$
 - $\circ~$ Nonbasic variable used to generate direction becomes basic.
 - o Basic variable that determines step size becomes nonbasic.
- <u>Simplex degeneracy</u>

- Happens if more than the required number of constraints are active at a given extreme point.
- In other words, one of the basic variables is 0.
- The simplex method may generate a step size of 0 (if the simplex 0 direction involves decreasing a variable that is already equal to 0) and can then "get stuck" for a few steps.
- Computations will (usually) escape these zero-length moves and 0 eventually produce a direction where improving progress can be made.
- Thus, the simplex method does not necessary move to an adjacent 0 extreme point, but it does move to an adjacent basis.